1 2 3 4 Honorable David G. Estudillo 5 6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA 8 CINDY VAN LOO, an Oregon resident, as 9 Personal Representative of the ESTATE OF NO. 3:23-cv-05618-DGE MICHAEL F. REINOEHL; 10 ANSWER OF DEFENDANTS Plaintiff, 11 CITY OF LAKEWOOD AND VS. MICHAEL MERRILL TO 12 PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; PIERCE AND AFFIRMATIVE 13 COUNTY, a political subdivision of the State of **DEFENSES** Washington; the MUNICIPALITY OF 14 LAKEWOOD, a municipal corporation; STATE OF WASHINGTON; JAMES OLEOLE, an 15 individual; CRAIG GOCHA, an individual; MICHAEL MERRILL, an individual; and 16 JACOB WHITEHURST, an individual. 17 Defendants. 18 TO: PLAINTIFF: 19 AND TO: ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF. 20 COME NOW Defendants City of Lakewood and Michael Merrill, ("Defendants") by and 21 through the undersigned counsel, and for Answer to the Plaintiff's Complaint, admits, denies 22 and alleges as follows: 23 24 In Answer to the "Introduction" section of Plaintiff's Compliant, Defendants deny the 25 same. 26

ANSWER OF DEFENDANTS CITY OF LAKEWOOD AND MICHAEL MERRILL TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES – 1

Cause No.: 3:23-cv-05618-DGE

LAW, LYMAN, DANIEL, KAMERRER & BOGDANOVICH, P.S.

- 2.1 This paragraph does not make factual allegations that can be admitted or denied and it is denied on that basis.
- 2.2 This paragraph does not make factual allegations that can be admitted or denied and it is denied on that basis.
- 2.3 This paragraph does not make factual allegations that can be admitted or denied and it is denied on that basis.
- 3.1 Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny and therefore denies the same.
- 3.2 Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny and therefore denies the same.
- 3.3 This paragraph makes allegations against other defendants and is neither admitted nor denied on that basis.
- 3.4 This paragraph makes allegations against other defendants and is neither admitted nor denied on that basis.
 - 3.5 This paragraph is admitted.
- 3.6 This paragraph makes allegations against other defendants and is neither admitted nor denied on that basis.
- 3.7 This paragraph makes allegations against other defendants and is neither admitted nor denied on that basis.
- 3.8 The first sentence of this paragraph is admitted. The Defendants object to the second sentence as a conclusion of law and it is denied on that basis.
- 3.9 This paragraph makes allegations against other defendants and is neither admitted nor denied on that basis.

LAW, LYMAN, DANIEL, KAMERRER & BOGDANOVICH, P.S. ATTORNEYS AT LAW 2674 R.W. JOHNSON RD. TUMWATER, WA 98512

O. BOX 11880 OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON 98508-1880 (360) 754-3480 FAX: (360) 357-3511

MICHAEL MERRILL TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES – 3

LAW, LYMAN, DANIEL, KAMERRER & BOGDANOVICH, P.S. ATTORNEYS AT LAW 2674 R.W. JOHNSON RD. TUMWATER, WA 98512 P.O. BOX 11880 OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON 98508-1880

(360) 754-3480 FAX: (360) 357-3511

1	5.17 Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny and therefore
2	denies the same.
3	5.18 Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny and therefore
4	denies the same.
5	5.19 Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny and therefore
6	denies the same.
7	
8	5.20 Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny and therefore
9	denies the same.
0	5.21 Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny and therefore
.1	denies the same.
.2	5.22 Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny and therefore
.3	denies the same.
4	5.23 Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny and therefore
.5	
6	denies the same.
7	5.24 Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny and therefore
.8	denies the same.
9	5.25 Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny and therefore
20	denies the same. Defendants further object to this paragraph as a conclusion of law not an
21	allegation of fact and it is denied on that basis.
22	5.26 Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny and therefore
23	
24	denies the same. Defendants further object to this paragraph as a conclusion of law not an
25	allegation of fact and it is denied on that basis.
26	ANSWED OF DEFENDANTS CITY OF LAKEWOOD AND LAW LYMAN DANIEL

EAW, ETMAN, DANIEL,

KAMERRER & BOGDANOVICH, P.S.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

2674 R.W. JOHNSON RD. TUMWATER, WA 98512

P.O. BOX 11880 OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON 98508-1880

(360) 754-3480 FAX: (360) 357-3511

1	5.27 Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny and therefore
2	denies the same.
3	5.28 Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny and therefore
4	denies the same.
5	5.29 This paragraph makes allegations against other defendants and is neither admitted
6	nor denied on that basis.
7	5.30 Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny and therefore
8 9	denies the same.
10	
11	5.31 Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny and therefore
12	denies the same.
13	5.32 Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny and therefore
14	denies the same.
15	5.33 Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny and therefore
16	denies the same.
17	5.34 Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny and therefore
18	denies the same.
19	5.35 Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny and therefore
20	denies the same.
21	5.36 Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny and therefore
22	denies the same.
23	5.37 Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny and therefore
24 25	denies the same.
25 26	
-0	

LAW, LYMAN, DANIEL, KAMERRER & BOGDANOVICH, P.S. ATTORNEYS AT LAW 2674 R.W. JOHNSON RD. TUMWATER, WA 98512 P.O. BOX 11880 OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON 98508-1880 (360) 754-3480 FAX: (360) 357-3511

1	5.38	Defendants	are	without	sufficient	information	to	admit	or	deny	and	therefore
2	denies the san	ne.										
3	5.39	Defendants	are	without	sufficient	information	to	admit	or	deny	and	therefore
4	denies the san	ne.										
5	5.40	Defendants	are	without	sufficient	information	to	admit	or	deny	and	therefore
6	denies the san									J		
7			aro	without	sufficient	information	to	admit	Or	dany	and	therefore
8			are	without	Sufficient	mormation	ιο	aumit	O1	ueny	anu	therefore
9	denies the san				201					_	_	
10	5.42	Defendants	are	without	sufficient	information	to	admit	or	deny	and	therefore
11 12	denies the san	ne.										
13	5.43	Defendants	are	without	sufficient	information	to	admit	or	deny	and	therefore
14	denies the san	ne.										
15	5.44	Defendants	are	without	sufficient	information	to	admit	or	deny	and	therefore
16	denies the san	ne.										
17	5.45	Defendants	are	without	sufficient	information	to	admit	or	deny	and	therefore
18	denies the san	ne.										
19	5.46	Defendants	are	without	sufficient	information	to	admit	or	deny	and	therefore
20	denies the san									•		
21			aro	without	sufficient	information	to	admit	Or	dany	and	therefore
22			are	without	Sufficient	mormation	ιο	aumit	O1	ueny	anu	therefore
23	denies the san									_		
24	5.48	Defendants	are	without	sufficient	information	to	admit	or	deny	and	therefore
25	denies the san	ne.										
26												

LAW, LYMAN, DANIEL, KAMERRER & BOGDANOVICH, P.S. ATTORNEYS AT LAW 2674 R.W. JOHNSON RD. TUMWATER, WA 98512 P.O. BOX 11880 OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON 98508-1880 (360) 754-3480 FAX: (360) 357-3511

1	5.49	Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny and therefore
2	denies the sa	ame.
3	5.50	Defendants admit this paragraph as to Michael Merrill. The remaining allegations
4	concern othe	er defendants and require no response and are neither admitted nor denied.
5	5.51	Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny and therefore
6	denies the sa	
7		
8	5.52	Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny and therefore
9	denies the sa	ame.
10	5.53	Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny and therefore
11	denies the sa	ame.
12	5.54	This paragraph makes allegations against other defendants and is neither admitted
13	nor denied o	on that basis.
14	5.55	Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny and therefore
15	denies the sa	
16		
17	5.56	Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny and therefore
18	denies the sa	ame.
19	5.57	Defendants object that this paragraph is a legal conclusion and it is denied on that
20	basis.	
21	5.58	Defendants object that this paragraph is a legal conclusion and it is denied on that
22	basis.	
23	5.59	Defendants deny this paragraph.
24		
25	5.60	Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny and therefore
26	denies the sa	AME. F DEFENDANTS CITY OF LAKEWOOD AND LAW, LYMAN, DANIEL,

KAMERRER & BOGDANOVICH, P.S. ATTORNEYS AT LAW 2674 R.W. JOHNSON RD. TUMWATER, WA 98512 P.O. BOX 11880 OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON 98508-1880 (360) 754-3480 FAX: (360) 357-3511

1	5.6	Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny and therefore							
2	denies the same.								
3	5.6	2 Defendants deny this paragraph.							
4	5.6								
5									
6	denies the	same.							
7	5.6	4 Defendants object that this paragraph is a legal conclusion and it is denied on that							
8	basis.								
9	5.6	Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny and therefore							
10	denies the	same.							
11	5.60	6 Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny and therefore							
12	denies the								
13									
14	5.67	7 Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny and therefore							
15	denies the	same.							
16	5.68	B Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny and therefore							
17	denies the	same.							
18	5.6	Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny and therefore							
19	denies the	same.							
20	5.79	Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny and therefore							
21	denies the	·							
22									
23	5.7 1	Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny and therefore							
24	denies the	same.							
25	5.72	Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny and therefore							
26	denies the	same.							

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES – 9

ANSWER OF DEFENDANTS CITY OF LAKEWOOD AND

MICHAEL MERRILL TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT AND

1	F 79	Defendants a	ara without	sufficient	information	to	admit	or	dany	and	therefore
	5.73		are without	Sufficient	mormation	ιο	admit	OI	ueny	anu	therefore
2	denies the sa	me.									
3	5.74	Defendants a	are without	sufficient	information	to	admit	or	deny	and	therefore
4	denies the sa	me.									
5	5.75	Defendants a	are without	sufficient	information	to	admit	or	denv	and	therefore
6	2,72								J		
7	denies the sa	me.									
8	5.76	Defendants	are without	sufficient	information	to	admit	or	deny	and	therefore
9	denies the sa	me.									
10	5.77	Defendants a	are without	sufficient	information	to	admit	or	deny	and	therefore
11	denies the sa	me.									
12		Defendants a	ara without	sufficient	information	to	admit	or	dony	and	thoroforo
13			are without	Sufficient	imormation	ιο	aumm	OI	ueny	anu	therefore
14	denies the sa	me.									
15	5.79	Defendants a	are without	sufficient	information	to	admit	or	deny	and	therefore
16	denies the sa	me.									
17	5.80	Defendants a	are without	sufficient	information	to	admit	or	deny	and	therefore
18	denies the sa	me.									
19				CC: -: t	:£		. 3		1	3	+1 C
20	5.81	Defendants a	are without	sumcient	information	το	admit	or	aeny	ana	tnerefore
21	denies the sa	me.									
$\begin{bmatrix} 21 \\ 22 \end{bmatrix}$	5.82	Defendants a	are without	sufficient	information	to	admit	or	deny	and	therefore
23	denies the sa	me.									
	5.83	Defendants :	are without	sufficient	information	to	admit	or	deny	and	therefore
24	denies the sa			- ·•		•			J		
25	demes me sa	1110.									
26	ANSWER OI	F DEFENDANTS	S CITY OF LAI	KEWOOD A	ND		I.AW	I.YX	MAN, DA	NIEI.	
	ALID WEIL UI		OILL OF LAI	SE WOOD A	1111			, <i>– 1</i> 1	μ_{III} , ν_{I}	· 11111,	

KAMERRER & BOGDANOVICH, P.S.
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
2674 R.W. JOHNSON RD. TUMWATER, WA 98512
P.O. BOX 11880 OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON 98508-1880
(360) 754-3480 FAX: (360) 357-3511

1	5.84	Defendants	are	without	sufficient	information	to	admit	or	deny	and	therefore
2	denies the sa	me.										
3	5.85	Defendants	are	without	sufficient	information	to	admit	or	deny	and	therefore
4	denies the sa	me.										
5	5.86	Defendants	are	without	sufficient	information	to	admit	or	deny	and	therefore
6 7	denies the sa	me.										
8	5.87	Defendants	are	without	sufficient	information	to	admit	or	denv	and	therefore
9	denies the sa									3		
10		Defendants	are	without	sufficient	information	to	admit	or	denv	and	therefore
11	denies the sa		arc	Without	Sufficient	mormation		adilit	O1	delly	una	therefore
12	5.89		oro	without	gufficient	information	to	odmit	or	dony	and	thoroforo
13	9		are	without	Sufficient	information	ιο	aumin	OI	deny	anu	therefore
14	denies the sa	me.										
15	5.90	Defendants	are	without	sufficient	information	to	admit	or	deny	and	therefore
16	denies the sa	me.										
17	5.91	Defendants	are	without	sufficient	information	to	admit	or	deny	and	therefore
18	denies the sa	me.										
19	5.92	Defendants	are	without	sufficient	information	to	admit	or	deny	and	therefore
20	denies the sa	me.										
21	5.93	Defendants	are	without	sufficient	information	to	admit	or	deny	and	therefore
22	denies the sa	me.										
23	5.94		are	without	sufficient	information	to	admit	or	denv	and	therefore
24							••		01	acity		
25	denies the sa	me.										
26												

LAW, LYMAN, DANIEL, KAMERRER & BOGDANOVICH, P.S. ATTORNEYS AT LAW 2674 R.W. JOHNSON RD. TUMWATER, WA 98512 P.O. BOX 11880 OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON 98508-1880 (360) 754-3480 FAX: (360) 357-3511

1	1 5.95 Defendants are without sufficient	information	to	admit	or	deny	and	therefore
2	denies the same.							
3	5.96 Defendants are without sufficient	information	to	admit	or	deny	and	therefore
4	denies the same.							
5	5 5.97 Defendants are without sufficient	information	to	admit	or	denv	and	therefore
6	6 denies the same		•		01	acity		
7						_	_	
8	5.98 Defendants are without sufficient	information	to	admit	or	deny	and	therefore
9	9 denies the same.							
10	5.99 Defendants are without sufficient	information	to	admit	or	deny	and	therefore
11	denies the same.							
12	5.100 Defendants are without sufficient	information	to	admit	or	deny	and	therefore
13	denies the same.							
14	5.101 Defendants are without sufficient	information	to	admit	or	dony	and	thoroforo
15	15	miormation	ω	aumin	OI	ueny	anu	therefore
16	denies the same.							
17	5.102 Defendants are without sufficient	information	to	admit	or	deny	and	therefore
18	denies the same.							
19	5.103 Defendants are without sufficient	information	to	admit	or	deny	and	therefore
20	denies the same.							
21	5.104 Defendants are without sufficient	information	to	admit	or	denv	and	therefore
22	denies the same				-			
23	23							.1 0
24	5.105 Defendants are without sufficient	intormation	to	admit	or	deny	and	therefore
25	denies the same.							
26	26							

1	5.106 Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny and therefore
2	denies the same.
3	5.107 Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny and therefore
4	denies the same.
5	5.108 Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny and therefore
6	denies the same.
7	
8	5.109 a e. Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny and
9	therefore denies the same.
10	5.110 Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny and therefore
11	denies the same.
12	5.111 Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny and therefore
13	denies the same.
14	5.112 Defendants deny this paragraph.
15	
16	5.113 Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny and therefore
17	denies the same.
18	5.114 Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny and therefore
19	denies the same.
20	5.115 Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny and therefore
21	denies the same.
22	5.116 Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny and therefore
23	
24	denies the same.
25	5.117 Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny and therefore
26	denies the same.
	ANSWER OF DEFENDANTS CITY OF LAKEWOOD AND LAW, LYMAN, DANIEL,

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES – 13

MICHAEL MERRILL TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT AND

LAW, LYMAN, DANIEL, KAMERRER & BOGDANOVICH, P.S. ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1	5.118 Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny and therefore
2	denies the same.
3	5.119 Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny and therefore
4	denies the same.
5	5.120 Defendants admit Reinoehl died, but deny the remaining allegations in this
6	paragraph.
7	
8	5.121 Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny and therefore
9	denies the same.
10	5.122 Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny and therefore
11	denies the same.
12	5.123 Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny and therefore
13	denies the same.
14	6.1 Defendants incorporate their responses to paragraphs 5.1 - 5.123 above.
15	
16	6.2 Defendants deny this paragraph.
17	6.3 Defendants object that this paragraph is argumentative, and a legal conclusion and
18	it is denied on that basis.
19	6.4 Defendants object that this paragraph is argumentative, and a legal conclusion and
20	it is denied on that basis.
21	6.5 Defendants deny this paragraph.
22	
23	6.6 This paragraph makes allegations against other defendants and is neither admitted
24	nor denied on that basis. To the extent that this paragraph includes allegations against these
25	defendants, it is denied.
26	
•	" ANSWER OF DEFENDANTS CITY OF LAKEWOOD AND LAW, LYMAN, DANIEL,

26

- This paragraph makes allegations against other defendants and is neither admitted 6.7 nor denied on that basis. To the extent that this paragraph includes allegations against these defendants, it is denied.
- This paragraph makes allegations against other defendants and is neither admitted 6.8 nor denied on that basis. To the extent that this paragraph includes allegations against these defendants, it is denied.
- This paragraph makes allegations against other defendants and is neither admitted 6.9 nor denied on that basis. To the extent that this paragraph includes allegations against these defendants, it is denied.
 - This paragraph is denied as to these defendants. 6.10
- Defendants object that this paragraph is argumentative, and a legal conclusion and 6.11 it is denied on that basis.
- Defendants object that this paragraph is argumentative, and a legal conclusion and it is denied on that basis. Defendants deny any factual allegations contained in this paragraph.
- Defendants object that this paragraph is argumentative, and a legal conclusion and it is denied on that basis. Defendants deny any factual allegations contained in this paragraph.

Defendants deny the plaintiff is entitled to the relief sought in paragraphs 7.1 - 7.5.

By way of FURTHER ANSWER and AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES, Defendants allege:

- 1. That the plaintiffs have failed to state a claim for which relief can be granted against these defendants.
 - That qualified immunity under state and federal law precludes plaintiffs' claims. 2.
- That the public duty doctrine and qualified and/or good faith immunity preclude 3. plaintiffs' state law claims.

ANSWER OF DEFENDANTS CITY OF LAKEWOOD AND MICHAEL MERRILL TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES – 15

- That the plaintiffs' comparative fault and intentional criminal conduct proximately 4. caused their damages, if any.
 - That the plaintiffs failed to mitigate their damages, if any. 5.
- That the plaintiffs' damages, if any, were caused by fault of parties not in the 6. control of Defendants or non-parties not in the control of Defendants.
- That the plaintiff was engaged in the commission of a felony at the time of the 7. occurrence causing the injury and the felony was a proximate cause of the injury. Therefore, Plaintiffs' claims are barred by RCW 4.24.420.
 - That the plaintiffs lack standing to pursue one or more of their claims. 8.

Defendants expressly reserve the right to amend this Answer, including the addition of affirmative defenses warranted by investigation and discovery, and to make such amendments either before or during trial, including asserting other defense theories or conforming the pleadings to the proof offered at the time of trial.

/// ///

26

ANSWER OF DEFENDANTS CITY OF LAKEWOOD AND MICHAEL MERRILL TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES – 16

Cause No.: 3:23-cv-05618-DGE

LAW, LYMAN, DANIEL, KAMERRER & BOGDANOVICH, P.S.

WHEREFORE, Defendants pray as follows: 1 2 That plaintiffs' Complaint be dismissed with prejudice and that plaintiffs take 3 nothing by their Complaint and that Defendants be allowed their costs and reasonable attorneys' 4 fees herein. 5 Dated this 15th day of August, 2023. 6 LAW, LYMAN, DANIEL, KAMERRER 7 & BOGDANOVICH, P.S. 8 /s/ John E. Justice 9 John E. Justice, WSBA No. 23042 Attorney for Defendants City of Lakewood 10 and Michael Merrill P.O. Box 11880, Olympia, WA 98508 Phone: (360) 754-3480 Fax: 360-754-3480 Email: jjustice@lldkb.com 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

ANSWER OF DEFENDANTS CITY OF LAKEWOOD AND MICHAEL MERRILL TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES – 17

KAMERRER & BOGDANOVICH, P.S. ATTORNEYS AT LAW 2674 R.W. JOHNSON RD. TUMWATER, WA 98512 P.O. BOX 11880 OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON 98508-1880 (360) 754-3480 FAX: (360) 357-3511

LAW, LYMAN, DANIEL,

1 CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE 2 I hereby certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that 3 on this date, I caused to be electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk of the 4 Court using the CM/ECF system, who will send notification of such filing to the following 5 party: 6 **Plaintiffs' Attorneys: Def State of WA Attorney:** 7 Rebecca J. Roe, WSBA No. 7560 Kaylynn What, WSBA No. 43442 8 Jeffrey P. Robinson, WSBA No. 11950 Allison Croft, WSBA No. 30486 SCHROETER, GOLDMARK & BENDER Simmons, Sweeny, Freimund, Smith, Tardiff 401 Union Street, Suite 3400 711 Capitol Way South, Suite 602 10 allison@ssslawgroup.com Seattle, WA 98101 kaylynn@ssslawgroup.com roe@sgb-law.com 11 robinson@sgb-law.com mail@ssslawgroup.com 12 Braden Pence, WSBA No. 43495 MACDONALD, HOAGUE & BAYLESS 13 705 2nd Avenue, Suite 1500 14 Seattle, WA 98104-1745 bradenp@mhb.com 15 Jesse A. Merrithew, WSBA No. 50178 16 LEVI, MERRITHEW, HORST, PC 610 SW Alder Street, Suite 415 17 Portland, OR 97205-3605 18 jesse@lmhlegal.com 19 Attorney for Def. James Oleole & Craig Gocha: Mary E. Robnett 20 Kerri Ann Jorgensen 930 Tacoma Avenue South, Suite 946 21 Tacoma, WA 98402-2102 22 Kerri.jorgensen@piercecountywa.gov 23 DATED this 15th day of August at Tumwater, WA. 24 /s/ Lisa Gates 25 Lisa Gates Assistant to John E. Justice 26

ANSWER OF DEFENDANTS CITY OF LAKEWOOD AND MICHAEL MERRILL TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES – 18

Cause No.: 3:23-cv-05618-DGE

LAW, LYMAN, DANIEL, KAMERRER & BOGDANOVICH, P.S. ATTORNEYS AT LAW